Approved For Refease 1999/09/04 State 1 1004 to La Augustion 100 100014-4 25X1A2g REPORT NO.

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

INFORMATION FROM

FOREIGN DOCUMENTS OR RADIO BROADCASTS CD NO.

COUNTRY Foreign

SUBJECT FOREIGN RADIO REACTIONS TO THE

NEW SINO-SOVIET TREATY AND ACREEMENTS, Nos. 1 and 2

HOW :

PUBLISHED Radio Broadcasts

WHERE

DATE

**PUBLISHED** 

PUBLISHED 14-16 February 1950

LANGUAGE Several

DATE OF

INFORMATION 14- 36 February 1950

DATE DIST.

NO. OF PAGES

2) February 1950

SUPPLEMENT TO REPORT NO.

THIS IS UNEVALUATED INFORMATION

SOURCE FBID (This strictly factual reject is based solely on monitored foreign radio.

MELLINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE

MEANING OF ESPIONAGE AC

THE UNITED STATES THE MEANING OF ESPIONAGE
S. C., 31 AND 32, AS AMENDED. ITS TO THE REVISION OF THE REVISION OF THE REVISION OF THE FORM IS PROBLEM.

broadcasts received in Washington up to 8 a.m., 16 February 1950. It reproduces two reports prepared in response to a special request.)

Number 1 (14-15 February)

INTRODUCTION: It is still too early for extensive foreign radio reactions to the new Sinc-Scriet treaty and other agreements. To date, the news has been reported (enerally without segment. TASS has transmitted the texts of the treaty and of Chou En-lai's and Vishinsky's speeds at the signing ceremony; and the accompanying TASS announcement that the texts of the speeches have been broadcast in voice by Moscow suggests that the topic is slated for full! exploitation by the Soviet radio. And a special Chinese Communist broadcast, introduced by the Chinese and Soviet national anthems, is devoted to the subject. The only expressed opinions appear in Japanese press service reports of a few brief statements by Tapanese political leaders who, reacting negatively, cannot understand why the new treat: is directed | against Japan.

VISHINSKY'S SPEECE: Vishinsky's speech at the signing ceremony is characterized by the usual platitudes about the Soviets' profound sympethy with and friendship for the Chi see people and their "heroic liberation struggle under the leadership of the leader of the Chinese people; Meno Tas-tung." Stalin is quoted to this effect. The agreements on the Chinese Changeinn Reilway, Port Arthur, and Dairen are said to "bespeak the high respect on the part of the Soviet Union for the national independence and national rights and interests of the Chinese people" and to demonstrate the "grandeur of the principles of Soviet foreign policy." And as with Soviet policy everywhere, the treaty and agreements "constitute the bigges contribution to the cause of strengthening peace and democracy throughout the world."

CHOU EN-IAI'S SPEECH: Chou's speech on the same coession also contains the usual platitudes about friendship, unity, and cooperation; and both Stalin and Mao are preised, in that order. It does include a little more meat, however, than does Vishinsky's speech. Char specifically charges that "the imperialist bloc, headed by American imperialism, resorted to all kinds of provocative methods attempting to finistrate friendship between our powers." He cites the scompanic and territorial agreements as bound to "evoke the greatest enthusiasm mong the Chinese people." And in conclusion, he asserts that "the rallying of the peoples of Chine and the Soviet Union, counting approximately 700 million population, constitutes a force inpossible to conquer."

		CLASSIFICATIO	THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH
STATE	NAVY	NSRB	DISTRIBUTION
ARMY	YAIR	V 501	

25X1A2g

## 

- 2 -

Number 2 (15-16 February)

INTRODUCTION: Although there has been a considerable volume of foreign radio attention is to the new Sino-Soviet treaty and agreements, there is as yet no comment available from countries other than Japan in the Pacific-Southeast Asia area. As expected, the Sariet and Chinese Communist radios have given major publicity to the news. Radio Moscow in all languages used, has been broadcasting the texts of the treaty and agreements to the virtual exclusion of its normal commentaries. To date, however, it has issued only on commentary on the subject—a PRAVDA editorial paraphrasing the speeches by Vishinsky and shou at the signing ceremony and citing pertinent sections of the treaty and the supplementary agreements. Comments from radios in the Soviet aphere are, of course, all laudatory; they are a wariety of specific arguments to enhance the prestige of the Soviet-Communist camp and so defense the U.S.-led "imperialist" camp. In Western-oriented comment there is a general radial to accept the treaty and agreements at face value; but they are considered import at as arona-ganda weapons.

SCYLET-COMMUNIST COMMENT: In addition to the usual generalized claims about to camp of "peace and democracy" and the benevolently righteous Soviet foreign policy, the following more specific points appear:

"Another substantial shift in the balance of power"--The camp of peace an democracy is repeatedly said to have been strengthened at the expense of the imperialing camp. Frequent references are made to the fact that the USSR and China together powers nearly one-third of the human race. A Berlin commentary says the pact marks the 'merger of the vast territories of the USSR and China into one single geological are." And the same broadcast claims "that the prospect of preventing the outbreak of a whind world war is no longer utopian."

Acheson unmasked -- Tisteners are reminded of Acheson's Press Club speech, hich has allegedly been shown up as so much "false prattle."

Bulwark against U.S. led imperialism - The "mutual military assistance which the mach provides" is said to be a "clear warming for all warmongers to heed." PR VIA explains that the treaty singled out Japan because "American imperialism is doing all in its power to turn Japan into a strategic place d'armes directed against the USR and China."

Implications for Asia--There are occasional suggestions that the treaty is "a new way in international relations, unknown to Asia previously. It aims at liberating Asiatic peoples and making them equal partners in the defense of progress and pease throughout the world." A NEW CHINA NEWS AGENCY editorial considers that the treaty will have great effects on the situation in the East....

No Titoism in Chira--Although there is no direct consideration of this is ue, some indirect allusions are made to the subject. The NEW CHINA NEWS AGENCY, for example, contends that the treaty and agreements "will provide all patriotic, advanced elements and apatriotic people with weapons to criticize effectively sentiments of narr an nationalism. In entertained by some people." And a Warsaw broadcast concludes that the treaty "puries all hopes and calculations of the imperialists with regard to dissensions and divergencies inside the camp of socialism, peace, and the liberation of colonial peoples."

Comparisons with U.S. aid agreements--The "magnanimous" Soviet aid to China is contrasted with ERP and NAP which imposed duties and burdens on the recipients of American aid. The one-percent interest on the Soviet loan to China is contrasted with the interest of three to three and a half percent charged by the U.S. Attention, however is not arewn to the size of the Soviet loan.

RESTRICTED

## RESTRICTED

## Approved For Release 1999/09/25 70/10 78-04864A000100100014-4

RESTRICTED

- 3 -

WESTERN-ORIENTED COMMENT: Practically all Western-oriented comments agree that probably there are secret clauses modifying the outward appearance of the announced treaty and agreements. (In this connection, only one radio in the Communist sphere-Radio Berlin--makes an explicit reference to the subject, claiming that there is "no room for any secret clauses such as were customary with the imperialist powers.") That the news represents a decided Soviet-Communist propaganda victory, however, is generally conceded. A French commentator contends that the treaty and agreements are "going to be powerful instruments of anticolonialist propaganda" for both China and the USSR. (The clause promising non-interference in the internal affairs of either country is called an especially important victory for Mao.) There is also agreement that the treaty is directed primarily against the United States. And among those considering the subject, there is agreement that the possibility of Titoism in China has been minimized, if not written off completely.

Critical comments point to the limited amount of the Soviet loan and note the absence of treaty references to Sinkiang. They also predict that time and events will reveal the sacrifices to be wrung from China in return for the announced Soviet concession; the relations between the Soviet Union and her European Satellites are pointed to as a precedent for what can be expected.

33.00

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED